



Negotiating voluntary access to privately held data – success stories and challenges

Markus Sovala, Statistics Finland Warsaw 10-11 April 2025



Statistics Finland 1865 - 2025

Outline

- Where do we stand?
- How did we get there?
- Conclusions does the new 223 help us?
- The Future





Where do we stand?

- No legal obligations to provide PHD in national legislation
- EU act on HICPs provides an article on access to price data
- -> negotiations with data providers
- Currently in production:
 - 46 distinct data flows
 - most of the flows are free of charge for us,
 - for the rest, the cost is ~60 000 €/year
 - for some of the flows StatFi provides payment in kind (free statistical services)
 - 60 per cent of data flows are micro-data,
 - rest is aggregates, designed together with data providers to suit StatFi needs



Data providers and subject areas

- Types of data providers
- Enterprise group few
- Franchise owner few
- Digital service provider few
- Industrial/trade association many
- Data service provider few
- Other single enterprises none

- Statistical areas where used
- Price statistics (CPI, house prices, real estate...)
- Wage and labour cost statistics
- Household budgets, private consumption
- Tourism
- Energy and environment
- National accounts...
- Typically substituting direct data collection, also for quality control or estimation





How did we get there?

- Lobbying for support
 - Government support for new legislation not succesful
 - Ministry support for new legislation not succesful
 - Top management (DG, Deputies) "roadshow", bilateral meetings with important actors moderate success
- Negotiation tactics
 - Top management engagement from both parties to kick off the proces
 - Service orientation (we could help you...), win-win, well prepared argumentation and presentations
 - Adapting to data providers tech and processes, not requesting too much
- Organization
 - Centralised data acquisition departement
 - Top and middle management engagement
 - Al and other methodological work







Conclusions – does the new 223 help us?

- Data ownership
 - Unclear legal status of our PHD requests 223 brings clarity
 - Use of intermediaries 223 assumes direct contact with data holders
- Legal framework
 - Multiple legal frameworks, e.g. ePrivacy for mobile positioning data 223 does not help?
- Other arguments we encountered
 - You (Stats) have no need for additional data, already good enough 223 specifies the process for negotiations
 - We only give you data when it is obligatory, why us? 223 might help in getting better coverage (all data holders do not co-operate)





The Future

- Entirely digital business processes
- Joint B to G data hubs
 - already in production in Finland
- New negotiating partners and strategies



